**Objectives**

Do the authors of the report outline clear cyber security goals or objectives? If so, state the cyber security objectives.

|  |
| --- |
| The author of the report outlined the cybersecurity objectives properly. It is stated in the report that the objectives are to investigate the cybersecurity concerns of employees using their personal clouds to share data and documents within M&W’s organisation and to identify concerns that pose the most significant risks to the enterprise and puts forward a policy that attempts to mitigate these risks. |

**Assessment of Cyber Risk**

How would you rate the overall quality of the assessment of cyber risk within the report?

 very poor



 poor



 fair



 good



 very good



 excellent



 exceptional



Do the authors thoroughly consider the context of risk assessment? If so, state the aspects of context considered. If not, state what the authors should improve.

|  |
| --- |
| The authors addressed both internal and external context of cyber risk assessment. However, the argument provided to support the risks assessment is very limited. |

Do the authors appropriately identify cyber risks? If so, state the sources of evidence and process to determine relevancy. If not, state what sources of evidence the authors should consider.

|  |
| --- |
| The authors try to cover all possible concerns of cyber risks. However, the argument and data provided in the report are very limited. It is suggested that the authors add more argument in identifying the cyber risks. [ *Not sure how to go about this but worth addressing. ]* |

How would rate the analysis of the identified cyber risks?

 too heavy



 about right



 too light



How would rate the evaluation of the identified cyber risks?

 too heavy



 about right



 too light



**Policy**

Does the policy appear to communicate a strategy for dealing with perceived cyber risks?

 Yes



 No



 Don't know



Does the policy demonstrate an understanding of the asset(s) to be protected? If so, state the asset(s) you perceive the policy is attempting to protect. If not, state the asset(s) the authors may want to consider.

|  |
| --- |
| The author lists many policies to address the above risks such as data confidentiality, data location and employee regulations. However, many policies take up too much space in one aspect. Proposals can combine similar policies to one point. [ *I’m not sure reviewer knows what they’re talking about. ]* |

Does the policy reflect consideration of domain best practice, rules and regulation?

 Yes



 No



 Don't know



**Metrics**

Do the authors attempt to understand what the success of the intervention would look like? If so, state how they determine the success of the intervention. If not, suggest how the authors could determine the success of the intervention.

|  |
| --- |
| There are no metrics in this paper. The author needs to add relevant metrics. *[I’m not convinced the author knows what metrics are.[That being said, out discussion section could use re-writing to focus on implementation/evaluation.]* |

Do the authors attempt to understand the implication of any suggested interventions? If so, state how they explain the implication of any intervention. If not, suggest how the author could better understand and explain the implication of intervention.

|  |
| --- |
| The author did not attempt to understand the outcome of the intervention's success, simply re-outlined the policy. The author needs to describe the policy more specifically and describe the advantages and disadvantages of the policy. *[Same notes as above, I agree section needs re-written.]* |

**Communication**

Does the policy communicate directives in a clear and concise manner to all stakeholders? If so, indicate how the policy communicates effectively. If not, indicate how the authors could improve clarity of communication.

|  |
| --- |
| The article on the stakeholders did not give very clear and simple instructions. Authors can consider stakeholders in the classification of risks and policies. Then give a better directive in the final evaluation section. Such as analyzing data breaches for the benefit of competing companies with M & W. [*No idea what they want us to do here but it sounds like they’ve somewhat misread the question. Goes back to needing a better discussion section but I don’t know if we should follow this person’s advice.]* |

How would rate the number of references in the report?

 too heavy



 about right



 too light



One-page review

The one-page review should have 1-inch margins all around, A4-size, 12-point font and be in PDF format. The one-page should prioritise areas of improvements for the authors. Teams may want to consider the questions within the interactive rubric when they create their one-page review. In the review, teams should support other teams in improving their drafts, by

* advising the authors what they should **start** demonstrating in the report that is currently missing or incomplete, e.g. proper identification of cyber risks.
* advising the authors what they should **stop** doing within the report itself, e.g. what is not working or is causing confusion. ·
* advising the authors  what they should **continue** doing within the report itself, e.g. referencing strong evidence that cements arguments.

Teams should view the review as opportunity to prioritise to authors what would dramatically improve the draft report. Team members may think there are many areas of improvement, but they should demonstrate that they have prioritised key areas of improvement. Teams are encouraged to discuss and decide the key areas of improvement. Teams should offer advice on how to improve the draft, even if the draft itself is sparse, lacks content or has taken the wrong direction.

1 page review

Overall Objectives The authors clearly state the objectives of cyber security in which are to investigate the cybersecurity concerns of employees using their personal clouds in sharing data and documents within M&W’s organization. Moreover, it is written in the report that the objective is to identify concerns that pose the most significant risks to the enterprise and puts forward a policy that attempts to mitigate these risks. However, the authors start the second sentence of the report with a reference (see appendix 11) without providing the appendix in the report. [*I genuinely for the life of me can’t find what they’re referring to?? Second sentence has no references, second paragraph (if that’s what they meant) has a perfectly well labelled reference??]* Assessment of Cyber Risk The authors of the report try to address all possible concerns of cyber risks. However, the risks assessment to the concerns provided in the report are not detailed with further analysis. The authors write only several points about risks assessment completed with the level of the risks and weak argument. It is suggested that the author provide more arguments to the cyber risks assessment and focus to in-depth analysis of the cyber risks. [*Could be worth taking a look at how we put forward our risk analysis to try and be clearer.]*  Policy The author lists many policies to address the above risks such as data confidentiality, data location and employee regulations. However, many policies take up too much space on one aspect. Proposals can combine similar policies to one point.[*I disagree entirely, I think punctual short policy is the way to go. Worth making sure we don’t repeat ourselves or labour too much on any points, I don’t feel we do but worth looking at, but I think trying to say multiple policies with one bullet point is a bad way to go.*] Metrics There is no metrics included. The author needs to add risk metrics to his paper.[*We do need to do this.*] Communication The article on the stakeholders did not give very clear and simple instructions. Authors can consider stakeholders in the classification of risks and policies. Then give a better directive in the final evaluation section. Such as analyzing data breaches for the benefit of competing companies with M & W. [*Didn’t understand it in the box, don’t understand it copied and pasted here.*]